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ABSTRACT
This research dealt with Face Threatening Act by the different ethnic speakers in communicative event of school context. The aims of this study were (1) to describe the face threatening acts are commonly performed by the different ethnic speakers in communicative events of school context. (2) to describe the face threatening acts are commonly performed by the different ethnic speakers in communicative events of school context. (3) to describe the reasons why the way it does. The source of data of this study were utterances of Bataknese and Javanese speakers. The data were collected through documentary technique and the instrument was the documentary sheet. The technique of data analysis was descriptive. The findings of this study revealed that: (1) There were 2 types of face threatening act, they are negative face and positive face. The most types of face threatening acts are performed by the different ethnic speakers is Positive Face within 46 times from 81 utterances consist of sentences were disagreement, contradiction, agreement, and apology in their conversations. (2) The ways of face threatening act was based on the interactions of the teachers, employees, and students in a certain conversations in school environment. The dominant type of FTA in negative face were expression of thanks, acceptance of compliments, and acceptance of thanks, offers and compliments. And the dominant type in positive face was apologize. It is indicated in the need for mutual relationships, to be accepted as members of the same purpose who has freedom of actions and unencumbered on solidarity and level of equality despite between Bataknese and Javanese have their own way to talk to their partners in this research. (3) Between the teachers, employees and the students, there are reasons of using Face Threatening Act: request, suggestion, warning, disagreement and apology. These reasons explain why the FTA does. All the reasons mostly influenced of face threatening act were the context of the situations (relationship between the different ethnic speaker).

INTRODUCTION
Communication is one of the most important matters for living things, especially for human. We have to communicate in order to help us fulfill our needs, because we cannot get what we want if we do not say it. Every human who lives in this world is created as a social creature. He or she always needs other people in his or her society to fulfill the social needs. In order to meet the social needs as a social creature, people have to be able to communicate or interact with the society. To communicate people needs tools of communication in which language is one of them. The use of language can be found in a conversation. Thus, conversation is a real form of language uses. It is an exchange of words, sentences and many other expressions that happen when two or more people are involved in talking about a certain thing in a certain situation. Making a conversation people does not only produce utterances containing grammatical structures and words, but they also perform an act through those utterances. In other word, the acts are characterized in term how they are related to each other rather than the kind of function they are independently used to perform.

As a mean of communication and a part of culture, language has an important role in human’s life. Therefore, it reflects speaker’s behaviour. That is also why language is able to build human relationship. But every speech which is spoken by speakers or hearers is not exactly alike. Those differences, generally, are influenced by education, age, personality, sex, and

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Medan Resource Center
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Common Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/license/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
setting. These factors are sometime affected how close relationship or the interaction between speaker and hearer is. The personality, for instance, as a matter of fact that describes our character being related with the emotional states. Or sometimes we are conditioned by setting either formal or informal so we should decide how to behave in order to appropriate with its situation. Of course, the differences above influence people in using language whether it is regarded as polite language or not. It should be realized by everyone in forming good conversation because the use of language can reflect the behavior or the attitude.

Communication breakdown entails lack of effective and efficient communication; however, various measures are linguistically put in place to avert such situations. In daily interaction, people often do conversations with their gesture movement, and the way they talk with each other. It showed by their face when they perceive something from their face. Face is something that is emotionally invested and that it can be lost, maintained or enhanced and must be constantly attended to in an interaction. Goffman (1967) argues that face is a mask that changes depending on the audience and social interaction because in any society, whenever the physical possibility of spoken interaction arises, it seems that a system of practices, conventions and procedural rules comes into play which functions as a means of guiding and organizing the flow of messages. This is something that can happen in such situation that the researcher adopts for the current study.

This study focuses on the phenomenon of face threatening act. Face threatening act is considered as a linguistic product of contact, determined in various ways by the social circumstances in which it occurs. Face threatening act is now considered to be a natural product of interaction. Face-threatening act is act which in some way threaten the ‘face’ or self-esteem of another person (Brown and Levinson, 1987). Some people think that all communicative acts are potentially threatening. In fact, the potential does exist to threaten ‘face’ with every act of communication. Whether the act is actually a threat depends not so much on the intent of the speaker but on the perception of the hearer. Face threatening Act includes act other than spoken or written. Very often we can threaten others’ face by a look, an expression or some other non-verbal communication. Staring at someone is often perceived as a FTA for no other reason than it can be so unnerving. The starrer’s motivation is always questioned. The person who is being stared at must wonder, what is wrong. A child (or adult) at times can be more expressive and rather than hide a FTA, might express him or herself openly.

Talking about face threatening act, there are some types of face threatening act. Brown and Levinson (1987) distinguished two types of face threatening act. They are Negative Face Threatening Act and Positive Face Threatening Act. Negative face is threatened when an individual does not avoid or intend to avoid the obstruction of their interlocutor’s freedom of action. It can cause damage to either the speaker or the hearer, and makes one of the interlocutors submit their will to the other. Freedom of choice and action are impeded when negative face is threatened. Positive face is threatened when the speaker or hearer does not care about their interactor’s feelings, wants, or does not want what the other wants. Positive face threatening acts can also cause damage to the speaker or the hearer. When an individual is forced to be separated from others so that their well-being is treated less importantly, positive face is threatened.

The overall people around this world have ethnicity each other and they use language for their communication and interaction, especially in Indonesia. In Indonesia most of members of society have their own ethnicity. Ethnicity is a named human population with myths of common ancestry, shared historical memories, one or more elements of common culture (e.g. religion, customs, language), a frequent link with a homeland and a sense of solidarity among at least some of its members’ (Hutchinson and Smith, 1996 in Cristi Karner, 2007). Ethnic is a work containing the basic study of the processing and analysis of the culture of a tribe or ethnic group. In this study the researcher is discussing about the interaction in the ethnic group that can occur for different ethnic speakers between Bataknese and Javanese in school context. Bataknese and Javanese are two of many dialects in Indonesia that have very particular characteristics in the way they communicate that many linguists are interested in studying those dialects. Muryantina (2011) said that, Bataknese and Javanese are usually seen as two opposite cultures based on the way they interact with other people. Batak people are always seen as rude because they speak softly and politely.

In this study people make interaction and take place in SMK Negeri 10 Medan. In every interaction, there are kinds of face threatening act in communicative events that appear between teachers, employers, and students. Communicative events are events which comprise communication, communication function as identity. According to Saville-Troike (2002) state that the communicative event is the basic unit descriptive purposes. A single event is defined by a unified set of components throughout, beginning with the same participants in general topic, generally using the same language variety, maintaining tone or key and the ame rules for interaction. An events terminates whenever there is a change in the major participants, their role relationship, or the focus of attention. If there is no change in major participants and setting, the boundary between events is often marked by period of silence and perhaps a change in body position.

In this case, the bataknese and javanese do the face threatening act and the interactions took place in environments of school. The researcher aims are to give an overview of face threatening act, and to tell the readers what face threatening act is actually and how important to manage a FTA in our daily life based on Brown and Levinson’s theory. The example of phenomenon face threatening act in communicative events is describe below:

TT 1 : On di son baen surat nal (here put the letter!)
TT 2 : Mauliati! (thanks)
MT : Ini udah ada sampe 3.000.000 uang jula-julanya
       (the total of the money is 3.000.000)
TT 2 : Bah baen ma. (alright.)
       Jangan dipergang-pegang lagi uangnya, salah nanti lagi perhitungannya, aku lagi nanti ya repot!
**DISCUSSION**

This study reveals that there are 46 utterances consist of sentences Face Threatening Acts commonly performed by the different ethnic speakers from 81 conversations: disagreement, contradiction, agreement, and apology. It occurred in teachers’ interactions, in employees interactions and also in teacher to students interactions. By using positive face as explained many times that everyone wants to be respected. Knowing that his/her arguments will not match, in order to minimize the threatening acts towards his/her own face so, she/he stated that actually she/he only wants to specifically argue the point. The data had been condensed previously that permit to the process of drawing conclusion. In order to make the data clearer, the researcher displayed the data into the following tables:

| Table 4.1 The Total Types of Face Threatening Act Performed by All Speakers |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| NO | Speakers | Negative Face | Positive Face |
| 1. | Teachers | 21 | 10 |
| 2. | Employees | 14 | 13 |
| 3. | Students | 0 | 23 |
| Total Number | 35 | 46 |

In this research, for the first, the speakers often threaten hearers’ positive face rather than negative face. As explained by Brown and Levinson (1987), Positive face is someone’s desire to be respected, connected, and well thought of by others, while negative face is someone’s desire to be free from imposition. It is happened because of competing arguments where each position wants to win the opinions or the judgments each other. By doing the act of **insults, contradictions, disagreements, or challenges** are considered that they can threaten their partners and also the students. The second is threatening hearers’ negative face because by threatening other’s negative face such as **insults, contradictions, disagreements, or challenges** suggestions, advice, reminding, threats or warnings are considered given less contribution to the different ethnic speakers (students) because it cannot show their arguments are stronger than their teachers.

**CONCLUSIONS**

After analyzing the data, conclusions are drawn as the following:
There were 2 types of face threatening act, they were negative face and positive face. The most types of face threatening acts was performed by the different ethnic speakers was Positive Face within 46 times in their conversation. Then it is followed was negative face in 35 times. Face Threatening Acts commonly performed by the different ethnic speakers from 81 utterances consist of sentences were disagreement, contraction, agreement, and apology.

There were two types in face threatening act and usage of face threatening act by different speakers were discussed as the following: The dominant type of FTA in negative face were expression of thanks, acceptance of compliments, and acceptance of thanks, offers and compliments. And the dominant type in positive face was apologize. It is indicated in the need for mutual relationships, to be accepted as members of the same purpose who has freedom of actions and unencumbered on solidarity and level of equality despite between Bataknese and Javanese have their own way to talk to their partners in this research.

Between the teachers, employees and the students, there were reasons of using Face Threatening Act: request, suggestion, warning, disagreement and apology. These reasons explain why the FTA does. All the reasons mostly influenced of face threatening act were the context of the situations (relationship between the different ethnic speaker).
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